arXiv:1410.6764v1 [math.PR] 24 Oct 2014

On spectral distribution of high dimensional covariation matrices

Claudio Heinrich * Mark Podolskij †

October 27, 2014

Abstract

In this paper we present the asymptotic theory for spectral distributions of high dimensional covariation matrices of Brownian diffusions. More specifically, we consider N-dimensional Itô integrals with time varying matrix-valued integrands. We observe n equidistant high frequency data points of the underlying Brownian diffusion and we assume that $N/n \to c \in (0, \infty)$. We show that under a certain mixed spectral moment condition the spectral distribution of the empirical covariation matrix converges in distribution almost surely. Our proof relies on method of moments and applications of graph theory.

Keywords: diffusion processes, graphs, high frequency data, random matrices.

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 62M07, 60F05, 62E20, 60F17.

1 Introduction

Last decades have witnessed an immense progress in the theory of random matrices and their applications to probability, statistical physics and number theory. Since the seminal work [16], and increasingly so since [9], the asymptotic behaviour of the spectrum of random matrices received a great deal of attention. We refer to the monographs [1, 4, 10] for a detailed exposition of recent results and techniques.

This paper is devoted to the study of spectral distribution of empirical covariation matrices of Brownian integrals. On a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\in[0,1]}, \mathbb{P})$ we consider a diffusion process $(X_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ that is defined as

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t f_s dW_s, \tag{1.1}$$

*Department of Mathematics, University of Aarhus, Ny Munkegade 118, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark, Email: claudio.heinrich@math.au.dk.

†Department of Mathematics, University of Aarhus, Ny Munkegade 118, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark, Email: mpodolskij@math.au.dk.

where W denotes an N-dimensional Brownian motion and f is a $\mathbb{R}^{N\times N}$-valued step function given as

$$f_t = \sum_{l=1}^{m} T_l 1_{[t_{l-1},t_l)}(t) \tag{1.2}$$

where $0 = t_0 < \cdots < t_m = 1$ is a fixed partition of the interval $[0,1]$ and the matrices T_j, $1 \leq j \leq m$, are either deterministic or independent of the driving Brownian motion W. In mathematical finance one of the most central objects is the empirical covariation of X, which is defined via

$$[X]_n^N := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(X_{\frac{i}{n}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}\right)\left(X_{\frac{i}{n}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}\right)^*. \tag{1.3}$$

Here and throughout the paper A^* denotes the transpose of a matrix A. For a fixed dimension N it is well known that $[X]_n^N$ converges to the covariation matrix $[X]^N = \int_0^1 f_s f_s^* ds$ as $n \to \infty$ whenever the Itô integral at (1.1) is well defined. When N converges to infinity at the same rate as n the situation becomes much more delicate. In the following we briefly review some recent work on spectral distribution of large covariance/covariation matrices. Recall that for a given matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{N\times N}$ with real eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N$ the spectral distribution of A is defined via

$$F^A(x) := \frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N} 1_{\{\lambda_j \leq x\}}.$$

In [8] the author studies the spectral distribution of the empirical high dimensional covariance matrix based on i.i.d. data, which corresponds to our model (1.1) with f being constant. In this framework the spectral distribution of the empirical covariance matrix converges and, more importantly, there is a one-to-one connection between the limit of the Stieltjes transform of $F^{[X]_n^N}$ and the limit of $F^{[X]^N}$ (given the latter exists). It is exactly this relationship, called Marčenko-Pastur equation, which makes the estimation of the spectral distribution of the covariation matrix $[X]^N$ possible. In another paper [18] the authors consider the model (1.1), where the time variation of f comes solely from a scalar function. In other words, they study processes of the type $f_s = a_s T$, where $a : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a scalar function and $T \in \mathbb{R}^{N\times N}$. In this situation the methods of [8] can not be directly applied to infer $F^{[X]^N}$, but a certain modification of the functional $[X]_n^N$, which separates the scalar function a and the matrix T, still leads to a feasible procedure.

Unfortunately, both methods do not work when the function f has the form (1.2). More precisely, the Stieltjes transform method is hardly applicable in our setting unless all matrices $T_1, \cdots, T_m$ have the same eigenspaces for all N. In this work we follow the route of method of moments, which has been originally proposed by [17] in the context of random matrices. The basic idea is to show the almost sure convergence of all moments of the random probability measure $F^{[X]_n^N}$. Then, under Carleman's condition, the limiting distribution is uniquely determined by the limits of moments. The idea of the proof is heavily based on combinatorics of colored graphs. The main result of the paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $N/n \to c \in (0,\infty)$ and the following conditions hold:*
(i) There exists a constant $\tau_0 > 0$ such that $\|T_l\|_{op} \le \tau_0$ for all $1 \le l \le m$ and uniformly in N.
(ii) For any $k \ge 1$ and any multi-index $\mathbf{l} \in \{1,\dots,m\}^k$ the mixed spectral moment condition holds:

$$M_{\mathbf{l}}^k := \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N}\mathrm{tr}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} T_{l_i} T_{l_i}^*\right) \quad \text{exists in the almost sure sense and is non-random.} \tag{1.4}$$

Then $F^{[X]_n^N}$ converges in distribution to a non-random probability measure F almost surely. The k-th moment m_k of F is given via

$$m_k = \sum_{r=1}^{k} c^{r-1} \sum_{\nu_1+\ldots+\nu_r=k} \sum_{\mathbf{l}'\in\{1,\ldots,m\}^k} c_{r,\nu,\mathbf{l}'} \prod_{a=1}^{r} M_{\mathbf{l}^{(a)}}^{\nu_a} \prod_{l=1}^{m} (t_l - t_{l-1})^{s_{l,\nu,\mathbf{l}'}}, \tag{1.5}$$

where $\mathbf{l}^{(a)} = (l_1^{(a)},\ldots,l_{\nu_a}^{(a)}) \in \{1,\ldots,m\}^{\nu_a}$ are such that $\mathbf{l}' = (\mathbf{l}^{(1)},\ldots,\mathbf{l}^{(r)})$. The power $s_{l,\nu,\mathbf{l}'}$ is defined as $s_{l,\nu,\mathbf{l}'} = \sum_{a=1}^{r} n_l^{(a)}$ where

$$n_l^{(a)} = \begin{cases} \#\{j \,:\, l_j^{(1)} = l\} & \text{if } a = 1, \\ \#\{j \ne 1 \,:\, l_j^{(a)} = l\} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

The definition of $c_{r,\nu,\mathbf{l}'}$ is given in section 2.4.

The paper is structured as follows. In section 2 we present an overview about related problems and give some remarks on the conditions of Theorem 1.1. At the end of this section we also give the definition of the constant $c_{r,\nu,\mathbf{l}'}$. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2 Related problems and remarks

In this section we review some related studies and comment on conditions of Theorem 1.1.

2.1 Limit theory for a fixed dimension N

As we mentioned in the introduction, the definition of a covariation matrix implies the convergence in probability

$$[X]_n^N \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} [X]^N \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty$$

when the dimension N is fixed. Furthermore, the asymptotic results of [5, Theorem 2.5] imply the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that the process f is càdlàg (not necessarily of the form (1.2)). Then we obtain the stable convergence*

$$\sqrt{n}\left([X]_n^N - [X]^N\right) \xrightarrow{d_{st}} \int_0^1 A_s^{1/2} dW_s', \tag{2.1}$$

where W' is a N^2-dimensional Brownian motion independent of the σ-algebra $\mathcal{F}$ and the $N^2 \times N^2$-dimensional matrix A_s is given as

$$A_s^{jk,j'k'} = C_s^{jj'} C_s^{kk'} + C_s^{jk'} C_s^{kj'} \qquad \text{with} \qquad C_s = f_s f_s^*.$$

Quite surprisingly, Theorem 2.1 holds for general càdlàg stochastic processes f. We remark that Theorem 2.1 can be transformed into a feasible standard central limit theorem (cf. [11, Example 3.5]), thus making statistical inference for components of $[X]^N$ possible. Such general results do not hold anymore when $N \to \infty$ and one requires much stronger conditions on the process f.

2.2 Limit theory in the setting $N/n \to c \in (0, \infty)$

In this subsection we shortly review the results of [8, 18]. In [8] the author considers empirical covariance matrices of i.i.d. vectors. In the setting of our model (1.1) it means that the function f is deterministic and constant over the interval $[0, 1]$. In order to state the main result we introduce the Stieltjes transform, which is defined via

$$m_\mu(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{x - z} \mu(dx), \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}^+, \tag{2.2}$$

where μ is a measure on $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}^+ := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{ Im } z > 0\}$. Since the matrix f is constant, we can write (in distribution)

$$X_t = X_0 + \Sigma^{1/2} W_t \qquad \text{with} \qquad \Sigma = [X]^N.$$

The following path breaking result, called Marčenko-Pastur equation, has been shown in [9] for the case of a diagonal matrix Σ and extended later to general covariance matrices Σ in [12].

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that the spectral distribution F^Σ of Σ converges in distribution to F as $N \to \infty$. When $N/n \to c \in (0, \infty)$ the following results hold:*
(i) Define the function $v_{[X]_n^N}(z) := -z^{-1}(1 - N/n) + N m_{F^{[X]_n^N}}(z)/n$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$. Then there exists a deterministic function v such that

$$v_{[X]_n^N}(z) \to v(z) \quad \textit{almost surely.}$$

(ii) The function v from (i) satisfies the Marčenko-Pastur equation

$$-\frac{1}{v(z)} = z - c \int_0^\infty \frac{x}{1 + x v(z)} F(dz). \tag{2.3}$$

(iii) The equation (2.3) has a unique solution, which is the Stieltjes transform of a measure.

In practice it is of course impossible to check whether the spectral distribution F^{Σ} converges as $N \to \infty$. A pragmatic solution to this problem is to assume that N is large enough, so that F^{Σ} can be identified with its theoretical limit F. In the next step, as proposed in [8], discretization and convex optimization can be applied to construct a numerical algorithm to compute the function F from Marčenko-Pastur equation (2.3). At this step the approximation $v_{[X]_n^N}(z) \approx v(z)$ can be used. Finally, since we have identified F^{Σ} with F, the spectral density of the covariance matrix Σ can be recovered from F. This procedure shows the importance of Marčenko-Pastur equation for statistical inference.

In the work [18] the authors propose an extension of this procedure to time-varying matrices f_s, where the time variation is described by a scalar function. More precisely, they consider models of the type (1.1) with

$$f_s = a_s \Sigma^{1/2},$$

where $a : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ is a scalar function and Σ is a positive definite matrix with $\mathrm{tr}(\Sigma) = N$ (possibly random, but independent of W). In this setting the Marčenko-Pastur law for $[X]_n^N$ can not be expected to hold in general as it has been demonstrated in [18, Proposition 3]. The functional $[X]_n^N$ requires a modification to satisfy the Marčenko-Pastur equation (2.3). Such a modification is given as

$$\widehat{[X]}_n^N := \frac{\mathrm{tr}([X]_n^N)}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(X_{\frac{i}{n}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}\right)\left(X_{\frac{i}{n}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}\right)^*}{|X_{\frac{i}{n}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|^2}, \tag{2.4}$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Euclidean norm. Intuitively speaking, the proposed transformation of the original statistic $[X]_n^N$ eliminates the scalar variation a_s and the methods of [8] become applicable. Indeed, under certain conditions, the spectral distribution $F^{\widehat{[X]}_n^N}$ is connected to F^{Σ} through the Marčenko-Pastur equation (2.3). We refer to [18, Theorem 2] for a detailed exposition of the asymptotic theory.

2.3 Remarks on conditions of Theorem 1.1

In this subsection we provide a discussion of conditions of Theorem 1.1.

First of all, we remark that the mixed spectral moment condition at (1.4) is a rather strong condition, which however seems to be necessary according to our proofs. Nevertheless, in some special cases this assumption can be replaced by an easier condition. For instance, in the setting of a constant function f, i.e. $T_1 = \ldots = T_m = T$, a necessary condition for Theorem 1.1 to hold becomes

$$F^{TT^*} \longrightarrow F, \tag{2.5}$$

where F^{TT^*} is the spectral distribution of TT^* and the convergence is in distribution almost surely towards a non-random distribution function F. This assumption is used in classical works [13, 17]. In this framework the boundedness of the operator norm at (i) of Theorem 1.1 is not required as this condition can be overcome by a truncation argument.

More precisely, defining $F_\tau^{TT^*}(x) := N^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^N 1_{\{\lambda_i \le x\wedge\tau\}}$, assumption (2.5) implies the convergence

$$F_\tau^{TT^*} \longrightarrow F_\tau,$$

where F_τ is a non-random distribution function, for all $\tau > 0$. The convergence of moments result similar to (1.5) is then proved by showing the corresponding assertion for a fixed τ and letting $\tau \to \infty$. We refer to e.g. [4] for a detailed exposition. Also the condition (1.4) of Theorem 1.1 follows directly from (2.5) and boundedness of $\|TT^*\|_{op}$ due to the obvious relation

$$\frac{1}{N}\mathrm{tr}\,(TT^*)^k = \int x^k F^{TT^*}(dx).$$

However, in the general framework of (1.2) the convergence of, say, joint spectral distribution of matrices $T_1T_1^*, \ldots, T_mT_m^*$ is not sufficient to conclude convergence of mixed spectral moments at (1.4). The reason is that the behaviour of the expression at (1.4) is not solely determined by the eigenvalues of the involved matrices, but crucially depends on their eigenspaces. For the very same reason the truncation argument of [17] does not work, and spectral boundedness at (i) of Theorem 1.1 has to be assumed explicitly. Therefore it seems hard to avoid imposing condition (1.4). Let us remark however that when matrices $T_1T_1^*, \ldots, T_mT_m^*$ have the same eigenspaces for all N, i.e. there exist eigenvectors $v_1, \ldots v_N$ such that $T_lT_l^*v_i = \lambda_i^{(l)}v_i$, then conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1.1 can be replaced by assuming the almost sure weak convergence of the joint spectral distribution

$$F^{(T_1,\ldots,T_m)}(x_1,\ldots,x_m) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N 1_{\{\lambda_i^{(1)}\le x_1,\ldots,\lambda_i^{(m)}\le x_m\}}$$

towards a non-random distribution function F.

It is worth noticing that in the framework of free probability the mixed moment condition is referred to as the convergence of the joint distribution of the noncommutative random variables $T_1T_1^*,\ldots,T_mT_m^*$, as $N \to \infty$. See [3, 15] for an overview of this theory and its applications to random matrix theory. In particular, asymptotic freeness of $T_1T_1^*,\ldots,T_mT_m^*$ allows to weaken the mixed moment condition. Denoting for $N \times N$ random matrices $\tau_N(A) = \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{E}[\mathrm{tr}(A)]$, the matrices $T_1T_1^*,\ldots,T_mT_m^*$ are asymptotically free if for all $i_1 \neq i_2 \neq \ldots \neq i_k$ and all $p_1,\ldots,p_k > 0$

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \tau_N\left[\left((T_{i_1}T_{i_1}^*)^{p_1} - \tau_N\left((T_{i_1}T_{i_1}^*)^{p_1}\right)\right)\cdots\left((T_{i_k}T_{i_k}^*)^{p_k} - \tau_N\left((T_{i_1}T_{i_1}^*)^{p_1}\right)\right)\right] = 0.$$

By linearity of τ_N it is then obvious that all mixed limiting moments exist if and only if the spectral distributions $F^{T_iT_i^*}$ converge to nonrandom limiting distributions F_i with finite moments of all orders for $i = 1,\ldots,m$, almost surely.

2.4 Definition of $c_{r,\nu,\mathbf{l}'}$

In this subsection we give the definition of the constant $c_{r,\nu,\mathbf{l}'}$ that appears in Theorem 1.1.

Given $\mathbf{l}' \in \{1,...,m\}^k$ and $\nu_1,...,\nu_r$ with $\nu_1+\cdots+\nu_r = k$, we let $\mathbf{l}^{(a)} = (l_1^{(a)},...,l_{\nu_a}^{(a)}) \in \{1,...,m\}^{\nu_a}$ such that $\mathbf{l}' = (\mathbf{l}^{(1)},...,\mathbf{l}^{(r)})$. We recall the definition

$$n_l^{(a)} = \begin{cases} \#\{j \,:\, l_j^{(1)} = l\} & \text{if } a = 1, \\ \#\{j \neq 1 \,:\, l_j^{(a)} = l\} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Given a tree, i.e. a connected graph without cycles, G with r vertices $H_1,...,H_r$, we define for $l \in \{1,...,m\}$ and $a \in \{1,...,r\}$ numbers $n_l^{(a),G}$ in the following way: Let $H_{a_1},...,H_{a_p}$ be the vertices adjacent to H_a in G (i.e. the vertices connected to H_a by a path of length 1), where we leave out the vertex that lies on the path from H_a to H_1, if $a > 1$. We set

$$n_l^{(a),G} = \#\{j \in \{1,...,p\} \,:\, l_1^{(a_j)} = l\}.$$

Then, we have

$$c_{r,\nu,\mathbf{l}'} = \sum_G \frac{1}{|S_{\mathbf{l}',G}|} \prod_{l=1}^{m} \prod_{a=1}^{r} \frac{n_l^{(a)}!}{(n_l^{(a)} - n_l^{(a),G})!} 1_{\{n_l^{(a),G} \leq n_l^{(a)}\}},$$

where the summation runs for all trees G on $\{H_1,...,H_r\}$. Here, $S_{\mathbf{l}',G}$ is the set of all permutations π on the $\{2,...,r\}$ for which at least one of the following holds:

(i) $\mathbf{l}^{(\pi(p))} \neq \mathbf{l}^{(p)}$ for some $p \in \{2,...,r\}$

(ii) $G_\pi \neq G$, where G_π is the graph obtained from G by permuting the vertices $H_2,...,H_r$ according to π.

3 Proof

For the proof of Theorem 1.1 we rely on the well known moment convergence theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (F_n) be a sequence of p.d.f.s with finite moments of all orders $m_{k,n} = \int x^k dF_n(x)$. Assume $m_{k,n} \to m_k$ for $n \to \infty$ for $k = 1,...$ where*

(a) $m_k < \infty$ for all k and

(b) $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [m_{2k}(F)]^{-\frac{1}{2k}} = \infty$.

Then, F_n converges weakly to the uniquely determined probability distribution function F with moment sequence (m_k).

Condition (b) is known as *Carleman's condition.* For the proof we refer to [7, Theorem 3.3.11].

The strategy for proving Theorem 1.1 is the following: In the next subsection we introduce colored Q^+-graphs. In the two subsections thereafter, these graphs take a key role in showing that

$$\mathbb{E}[m_k(F^{[X]_n^N})] \to m_k \tag{3.1}$$

holds for all k, where m_k is defined as in Theorem 1.1.

Then, in subsection 3.4 we argue that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(m_k(F^{[X]_n^N}) - \mathbb{E}[m_k(F^{[X]_n^N})]\right)^4\right] = O(N^{-2}), \tag{3.2}$$

which yields $m_k(F^{[X]_n^N}) \to m_k$, almost surely, by virtue of the Borel-Cantelli Lemma. Finally, verifying that the sequence (m_k) satisfies Carleman's condition and applying Theorem 3.1 completes the proof.

Our proof extends the proof given in [17] (see also [4] and [2]) for the case of constant function f. In order to deal with our more general setting we introduce colored graphs and use new combinatorical arguments.

Throughout the proof, we denote the entries of the matrices T_l by $(T_l)_{ij} = t_{ij}^{(l)}$, and likewise for other matrices, in order to maintain readability.

3.1 Colored Q^+-graphs

For $l = 1, ..., m$ let Y_l be $N \times [n(t_l - t_{l-1})]$ matrices containing i.i.d. standard normal variables independent of T_l, where $[n(t_l - t_{l-1})]$ denotes the integer part of $n(t_l - t_{l-1})$. Set

$$\widetilde{[X]_n^N} := \frac{1}{n}\sum_{l=1}^{m} T_l Y_l Y_l^* T_l^*.$$

By virtue of the well known fact

$$\|F^A - F^B\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{N}\mathrm{rank}(A - B)$$

for $N \times N$ symmetric matrices A and B, it is easy to see that

$$\|F^{[X]_n^N} - F^{\widetilde{[X]_n^N}}\|_\infty \to 0, \tag{3.3}$$

as $n, N \to \infty$. Therefore, we can replace $[X]_n^N$ by $\widetilde{[X]_n^N}$ for the proof of Theorem 1.1. Conditioning on all T_l as given allows us, moreover, to restrict ourselves to nonrandom T_l for the proof.

In order to show the convergence of the expected k-th spectral moment $\mathbb{E}[m_k(F^{\widetilde{[X]_n^N}})]$ we are faced with the equation

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[m_k(F^{\widetilde{[X]_n^N}})] &= \frac{1}{N}\frac{1}{n^k}\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{tr}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{m} T_l Y_l Y_l^* T_l^*\right)^k\right] \\ &= N^{-1}n^{-k}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} t_{i_1 i_2}^{(l_1)} y_{i_2 j_1}^{(l_1)} y_{j_1 i_3}^{*(l_1)} t_{i_3 i_4}^{*(l_1)} \cdots t_{i_{3k-2} i_{3k-1}}^{(l_k)} y_{i_{3k-1} j_k}^{(l_k)} y_{j_k i_{3k}}^{*(l_k)} t_{i_{3k} i_1}^{*(l_k)}\right],\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$



Figure 1 – A colored Q^+-graph for $k = 6$ and $m = 2$. Here, $\mathbf{l} = (1, 2, 2, 1, 2, 1)$ where $1 =$ green and $2 =$ red.

Here, the summation runs over all $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, ..., l_k) \in \{1, ..., m\}^k$ and $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, ..., i_{3k}) \in \{1, ..., N\}^{3k}$. For all a, the index j_a varies over $\{1, ..., [n(t_{l_a} - t_{l_a-1})]\}$.

In order to carry out the summation we introduce colored Q^+-graphs which correspond to the summands in the above equation. These graphs are related to Q-graphs as used by the authors of [17].

Definition 3.2. Let $k > 0$. Given multi-indices $\mathbf{l} \in \{1, \dots, m\}^k$, $\mathbf{i} \in \{1, ..., N\}^{3k}$, and $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, ..., j_k)$ where $j_a \in \{1, \dots, [n(t_{l_a} - t_{l_a-1})]\}$, we define the *colored* Q^+*-graph* $Q_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ in the following way. Choose m arbitrary colors $c_1, ..., c_m$. For brevity we will usually not distinguish between $l \in \{1, ..., m\}$ and its associated color c_l. Draw $m + 1$ horizontal lines, the $\mathbf{i}$-, $\mathbf{j}^{(1)}$-, $\dots$, $\mathbf{j}^{(m)}$-line. Mark the numbers $\{1, ..., N\}$ on the $\mathbf{i}$-line and, for all l, the numbers $\{1, ..., [n(t_l - t_{l-1})]\}$ on the $\mathbf{j}^{(l)}$-line. For $s = 1, ..., k$, draw horizontal edges colored in l_s from i_{3s-2} to i_{3s-1} and from i_{3s} to i_{3s+1} with the convention that $i_{3k+1} = i_1$. For $s = 1, ..., k$, draw a vertical (down) edge from i_{3s-1} to j_s on the $\mathbf{j}^{(l_s)}$-line and a vertical (up) edge from j_s to i_{3s}, both edges also colored in l_s. The result is a connected directed graph forming a cycle. It consists of $4k$ edges and always 4 subsequent edges are of the same color. Figure 1 provides an example of a colored Q^+-graph.

There is a one to one correspondence between colored Q^+-graphs and the summands of (3.4). Highlighting this correspondence we introduce the notation

$$(ty)_{Q_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}} = \mathbb{E}\left[t^{(l_1)}_{i_1 i_2} y^{(l_1)}_{i_2 j_1} y^{*(l_1)}_{j_1 i_3} t^{*(l_1)}_{i_3 i_4} \cdots t^{(l_k)}_{i_{3k-2} i_{3k-1}} y^{(l_k)}_{i_{3k-1} j_k} y^{*(l_k)}_{j_k i_{3k}} t^{*(l_k)}_{i_{3k} i_1}\right]. \tag{3.5}$$

We will organize the colored Q^+-graphs in three categories and then derive the limit for (3.4) if the summation runs only for graphs from one of these categories. To this end, the following definitions are required.

Definition 3.3. The *head* $H(Q_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}})$ of a colored Q^+-graph $Q_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ is the subgraph of all vertices on the $\mathbf{i}$-line and all horizontal edges.

Definition 3.4. The *pillar* of a colored Q^+-graph $Q_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ is the Graph obtained from $Q_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ by first gluing together coincident vertical edges, then gluing all vertices on the $\mathbf{i}$-line that are connected in the head of $Q_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$, and then deleting all horizontal edges. The pillar is undirected and colorless. See Figure 2 for an example.



Figure 2 – A colored Q^+-graph in $\mathcal{C}_3$ and its pillar.

We divide the colored Q^+-graphs in the following three categories. Category $\mathcal{C}_1$ contains all graphs $Q_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ such that every down edge of $Q_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ coincides with exactly one up edge, and such that the pillar of $Q_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ is a tree. An example of a colored Q^+-graph in this category is the graph in Figure 1. Note that coincident vertical edges are always of the same color. Category $\mathcal{C}_2$ contains all colored Q^+-graphs that have at least one single vertical edge. Category $\mathcal{C}_3$ contains all other colored Q^+-graphs. The graph in Figure 2 is in this category since its pillar contains a cycle.

Now we can split the sum (3.4) into

$$\mathbb{E}[m_k(F^{\widetilde{[X]}_n^N})] = N^{-1}n^{-k}\left[\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{C}_1}(ty)_Q + \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{C}_2}(ty)_Q + \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{C}_3}(ty)_Q\right]. \tag{3.6}$$

The second sum vanishes since a vertical edge in Q which is single corresponds to a factor $y_{ij}^{(l)}$ in (3.5) that occurs only once. Hence, the expectation is 0 due to independence.

In the following section we argue that the third sum is negligible for $n, N \to \infty$. In the section thereafter, the limit for the first sum is derived.

3.2 The limit for the sum of $\mathcal{C}_3$ graphs

We make the following conventions on notation: For a Q^+-graph Q we denote by r the number of connected components of the head. By $s_1, ..., s_m$ we denote the numbers of noncoincident $\mathbf{j}^{(1)}, ..., \mathbf{j}^{(m)}$-vertices, respectively, and $s = s_1 + \cdots + s_m$. Denote further by p the number of noncoincident vertical edges of Q.

Lemma 3.5. *For a category $\mathcal{C}_3$ colored Q^+-graph $Q_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ it holds that $p + s - 1 < k$. Furthermore, the degree of each vertex of $H(Q_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}})$ is at least 2.*

Proof. The pillar of $Q_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ has $r + s$ vertices and p edges and is connected. Therefore, $r + s - 1 \leq p$ where equality implies that the pillar is a tree. We distinguish two different cases.

Case 1. If every vertical edge of $Q_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ has coincidence multiplicity 2, it holds that $p = k$, since $Q_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ contains $2k$ vertical edges. If, in this case, the pillar would be a tree, $Q_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ would be in $\mathcal{C}_1$. Therefore, we have $r + s - 1 < p = k$.

Case 2. One vertical edge of $Q_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ has coincidence multiplicity larger 2. We have $p < k$ and thus $r + s - 1 \leq p < k$.

Every $\mathbf{i}$-vertex of $Q_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ connects either with at least two horizontal edges or with one horizontal and one vertical edge, which is then single. Therefore, if some vertex of $H(Q_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}})$ has degree one, we have $Q_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} \in \mathcal{C}_2$. □

In order to show that the sum corresponding to $\mathcal{C}_3$ in (3.6) is negligible for $N \to \infty$, we introduce the concept of isomorphic Q^+-graphs.

Definition 3.6. Two colored Q^+-graphs $Q_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ and $Q_{\mathbf{l}',\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}$ are *isomorphic*, or $Q_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} \sim Q_{\mathbf{l}',\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}$, if we can obtain $Q_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ from $Q_{\mathbf{l}',\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}$ by permuting the numbers on the lines $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}^{(1)}, ..., \mathbf{j}^{(m)}$. In particular, $Q_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} \sim Q_{\mathbf{l}',\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}$ implies $\mathbf{l} = \mathbf{l}'$.

Lemma 3.7. *It holds that*

$$E_3 := N^{-1}n^{-k} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{C}_3} (ty)_Q \to 0$$

for $N, n \to \infty$ with $N/n \to c \in (0, \infty)$.

Proof. Observe the identity

$$E_3 = N^{-1}n^{-k} \sum_{Q_3} \sum_{Q \in [Q_3]} (ty)_Q,$$

where the first summation is taken for a representative system of pairwise not isomorphic graphs in category $\mathcal{C}_3$ and the second summation for all Q^+-graphs isomorphic to Q_3. It is sufficient to show that for arbitrary $Q_3 \in \mathcal{C}_3$ we have

$$N^{-1}n^{-k} \sum_{Q \in [Q_3]} (ty)_Q \ \to \ 0.$$

Glue coincident vertical edges of Q_3 into colorless down edges. Let every vertical edge that connects with the $\mathbf{j}^{(l)}$-line correspond to the matrix

$$Y(\mu) = \{(\mu - 1)!!\}_{N \times [n(t_l - t_{l-1})]},$$

where μ denotes the coincidence multiplicity of the edge.

Applying Theorem A 35. of [4] and Lemma 3.5 yields that there is a constant C_k such that

$$N^{-1}n^{-k} \sum_{Q \in [Q_3]} (ty)_Q \leq C_k N^{-1}n^{-k}N^{r+s} = O(N^{-1}),$$

and the proof is complete. □

3.3 Limit of the Expected k-th Spectral Moment

In this subsection we derive the limit of the first sum in (3.6). For a colored Q^+-graph $Q \in \mathcal{C}_1$, the expectation factor $\mathbb{E}\left[y_{i_2j_1}^{(l_1)} y_{i_3j_1}^{(l_1)} \cdots y_{i_{3k-1}j_k}^{(l_k)} y_{i_{3k}j_k}^{(l_k)}\right]$ of $(ty)_Q$ equals 1. Therefore,

$$N^{-1}n^{-k}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{C}_1}(ty)_Q = N^{-1}n^{-k}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{C}_1}(t)_{H(Q)}$$

depends on the heads of the graphs only. Using the notations introduced in the last subsection, there are

$$\prod_{l=1}^{m}[n(t_l - t_{l-1})]! \Big/ \prod_{l=1}^{m}([n(t_l - t_{l-1})] - s_l)!$$

colored Q^+-graphs with the same head as Q. Every graph $Q_1 \in \mathcal{C}_1$ has k noncoincident vertical edges and its pillar is a tree with $r+s$ vertices and k edges where $s = s_1 + ... + s_m$. Consequently, we have $k = r + s - 1$. Therefore, it holds that

$$N^{-1}n^{-k}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{C}_1}(t)_{H(Q)} = N^{-1}\sum_{H(Q)\in H(\mathcal{C}_1)} n^{-r+1}(t)_{H(Q)}\prod_{l=1}^{m}(t_l - t_{l-1})^{s_l} + o(1) \tag{3.7}$$

where $H(\mathcal{C}_1)$ denotes the set of colored heads for graphs in $\mathcal{C}_1$. We first derive the limit for this term if the summation runs for a class of similar heads.

Definition 3.8. A Q^+-graph Q induces a partition of the set $\{1, ..., 3k\}$, where a and b are in the same partition set if and only if i_a and i_b are connected in $H(Q)$. Let Q and Q' be colored Q^+-graphs with the same coloring vector. The heads $H(Q)$ and $H(Q')$ are *similar* (sometimes we also say Q and Q' are similar) if they induce the same partition. The equivalence class of heads similar to $H(Q)$ will be denoted by $[[H(Q)]]$. See Figure 3 for an example.

At this point it is convenient to introduce the notion of component coloring multi-indices (CCMIs). For a head of a colored Q^+-graph we denote the connected components by $H_1, ..., H_r$ and their sizes (i.e. the number of edges they contain) by $2\nu_1, ..., 2\nu_r$. For some component H_a of the head, the CCMI $\mathbf{l}^{(a)} = (l_1^{(a)}, ..., l_{\nu_a}^{(a)}) \in \{1, ..., m\}^{\nu_a}$ is defined in the following way. We obtain a natural order for the edges of the Q^+-graph by the order of indices in (3.5), i.e. the first edge connects i_1 and i_2, the second i_2 and j_1 and so on. We set $l_b^{(a)} = l$ where l is the color of the b-th up edge that connects to H_a.

Remark. Note that for a given Q^+-graph Q the multi-index $(\mathbf{l}^{(1)}, ..., \mathbf{l}^{(r)})$ is not uniquely determined since it depends on the labeling of the head components $H_1, ..., H_r$. We follow the convention that H_1 contains the index i_1. The labeling of the components $H_2, ..., H_r$, however, remains arbitrary, for reasons that will be explained later.

Figure 3 – Two similar heads.

Let us now analyze the summation of $(t)_{H(Q)}$ for a similarity class $[[H(Q)]]$.

Lemma 3.9. *Introducing the notation*

$$(TT^*)_{\mathbf{l}} = \prod_{i=1}^{q} T_{l_i} T_{l_i}^*$$

for $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, ..., l_q) \in \{1, ..., m\}^q$*, we have for a colored* Q^+ *graphs* $Q_1 \in \mathcal{C}_1$ *with CCMIs* $\mathbf{l}^{(1)}, ..., \mathbf{l}^{(r)}$

$$\sum_{H(Q)\in[[H(Q_1)]]} (t)_{H(Q)} = \prod_{a=1}^{r} (tr(TT^*)_{\mathbf{l}^{(a)}} + O(1)).$$

Proof. We write

$$\sum_{H(Q)\in[[H(Q_1)]]} (t)_{H(Q)} = \sum\nolimits' (t)_{H_1} \cdots (t)_{H_r}, \tag{3.8}$$

where $(t)_{H_1}, ..., (t)_{H_r}$ are products of entries of $T_1, ..., T_m, T_1^*, ..., T_m^*$ associated with the distinct cycles $H_1, ..., H_r$ of the head in the same manner as colored Q^+-graphs are associated to products of the form (3.5). The summation Σ' runs for all indices of these entries over $\{1, ..., N\}$, with the restriction that entries of different cycles have distinct indices.

Consider first $\sum(t)_{H_a}$ for some $a \in \{1, ..., r\}$. By the definition of the CCMI $\mathbf{l}^{(a)}$ and recalling that the pillar of Q is a tree it is not difficult to verify that

$$\sum (t)_{H_a} = \sum_{\mathbf{i}\in\{1,...,N\}^{2\nu_a}} t_{i_1 i_2}^{*(l_1^{(a)})} t_{i_2 i_3}^{(l_2^{(a)})} t_{i_3 i_4}^{*(l_2^{(a)})} \dots t_{i_{2\nu_a-1} i_{2\nu_a}}^{*(l_{\nu_a}^{(a)})} t_{i_{2\nu_a} i_1}^{(l_1^{(a)})} = \mathrm{tr}(TT^*)_{\mathbf{l}^{(a)}}.$$

Then, applying the inclusion-exclusion principle, and recalling $\|T_l\|_{\mathrm{op}} \le \tau_0$ for all l, yields

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{i}\in\{1,...,N\}^{2\nu_a} \\ \{i_1,...,i_{2\nu_a}\}\cap M=\emptyset}} t_{i_1 i_2}^{*(l_1^{(a)})} t_{i_2 i_3}^{(l_2^{(a)})} \dots t_{i_{2\nu_a} i_1}^{(l_1^{(a)})} = \mathrm{tr}(TT^*)_{\mathbf{l}^{(a)}} + O(1),$$

for any finite set $M \subset \mathbb{Z}_+$. The statement follows now by induction over the distinct cycles of the head. □

Applying this Lemma we find

$$N^{-1} \sum_{H(Q)\in[[H(Q_1)]]} n^{-r+1} (t)_{H(Q)} \prod_{l=1}^{m} (t_l - t_{l-1})^{s_i} \quad \longrightarrow \quad c^{r-1} \prod_{l=1}^{m} (t_l - t_{l-1})^{s_i} \prod_{a=1}^{r} M_{\mathbf{l}^{(a)}}^{\nu_a}. \tag{3.9}$$

Now, in order to derive the limit of $\mathbb{E}[m_k(F^{[X]_n^N})]$, it is sufficient to determine, for given $r, \nu_1, ..., \nu_r, s_1, ..., s_m$ and $\mathbf{l}^{(1)}, ..., \mathbf{l}^{(r)}$, the number of similarity classes with this specific parameters.

Definition 3.10. Two components H_a and H_b of the head of a colored Q^+-graph in $\mathcal{C}_1$ are *vertically connected* if there is a down edge starting at some vertex in H_a, which is followed by an up edge that ends at some vertex in H_b. Note that if H_a and H_b are vertically connected, then there is exactly one down edge leaving H_a that is followed by an up edge connecting to H_b and exactly one down edge leaving H_b that is followed by an up edge connecting to H_a. These four edges form two pairs of coincident edges and are of the same color. Therefore, we may understand the vertical connections as colored as well.

Definition 3.11. For a colored Q^+-graph $Q \in \mathcal{C}_1$ with components $H_1, ..., H_r$ we define the connectivity tree G_Q to be the graph with vertex set $\{H_1, ..., H_r\}$ where (H_a, H_b) is an edge in G_Q if and only if H_a and H_b are vertically connected in Q.

Note that due to the arbitrary labeling of $H_2, ..., H_r$ most Q^+-graphs have more than one possible connectivity tree.

Lemma 3.12. *It holds that*

$$\mathbb{E}[m_k(F^{\widetilde{[X]}_n^N})] \longrightarrow m_k$$

where

$$m_k = \sum_{r=1}^{k} c^{r-1} \sum_{\nu_1+...+\nu_r=k} \sum_{\mathbf{l}' \in \{1,...,m\}^k} c_{r,\nu,\mathbf{l}'} \prod_{a=1}^{r} M_{\mathbf{l}^{(a)}}^{\nu_a} \prod_{l=1}^{m} (t_l - t_{l-1})^{s_{l,\nu,\mathbf{l}'}}.$$

Here, $\mathbf{l}' = (\mathbf{l}^{(1)}, ..., \mathbf{l}^{(r)})$ *where* $\mathbf{l}^{(a)}$ *has length* ν_a*. For the definition of* $s_{l,\nu,\mathbf{l}'}$ *see Theorem 1.1, for the definition of* $c_{r,\nu,\mathbf{l}'}$ *see section 2.4.*

Proof. Recalling (3.6) and Lemma 3.7 it is sufficient to derive that

$$N^{-1}n^{-k} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{C}_1} (ty)_Q \longrightarrow m_k.$$

Thus, by virtue of (3.7) and (3.9) there are two things left to show:

(1) For a Q^+-graph Q with CCMIs $\mathbf{l}^{(1)}, ..., \mathbf{l}^{(r)}$, the number of noncoincident vertices on the $\mathbf{j}^{(l)}$-line is $s_l = \sum_{a=1}^{r} n_l^{(a)}$.

(2) There are $c_{r,\nu,\mathbf{l}'}$ similarity classes of Q^+-graphs with CCMIs $\mathbf{l}^{(1)}, ..., \mathbf{l}^{(r)}$.

For (1) note that every vertex on the $\mathbf{j}^{(l)}$-line has either degree 2 or 4 and its degree is 4 if and only if it lies on a vertical connection of color l. Therefore, s_l is the number of up edges colored in l minus the number of vertical connections of color l.
The number of up edges colored in l is the number of l-s in the CCMIs $\mathbf{l}^{(1)}, ..., \mathbf{l}^{(m)}$. Let H_a and H_b be two vertically connected components where in the connectivity tree G_Q H_a lies on the path from H_b to H_1. Then, the color of the vertical connection (H_a, H_b) is $l_1^{(b)}$. Therefore, the entries $l_1^{(b)}$ for $b > 1$ correspond one to one to the colors of the vertical connections of Q. This proves claim (1).

For (2) we first show that there are

$$\prod_{l=1}^{m}\prod_{a=1}^{r}\frac{n_l^{(a)}!}{(n_l^{(a)}-n_l^{(a),G})!}1_{\{n_l^{(a),G}\leq n_l^{(a)}\}}$$

similarity classes of Q^+-graphs with connectivity tree G and CCMIs $\mathbf{l}^{(1)},...,\mathbf{l}^{(r)}$. Within a component H_a a vertical connection (H_a,H_b) is at a certain position $p\in\{1,...,\nu_a\}$, meaning that the p-th down edge leaving H_a is followed by an up edge connecting to H_b. It is straightforward to verify that two Q^+-graphs with the same connectivity tree G and the same CCMIs $\mathbf{l}^{(1)},...,\mathbf{l}^{(r)}$ are similar if and only if within all components all vertical connections are at the same positions.

Consider component H_1, and let $H_{a_1},...,H_{a_p}$ be the components adjacent to it in G. A Q^+-graph Q with connectivity tree G contains the corresponding vertical connections $(H_1,H_{a_1}),...,(H_1,H_{a_p})$, $n_l^{(1),G}$ of which are colored in l. Since H_1 has $n_l^{(1)}$ leaving down edges of color l we have $\prod_{l=1}^m\frac{n_l^{(1)}!}{(n_l^{(1)}-n_l^{(1),G})!}1_{\{n_l^{(1),G}\leq n_l^{(1)}\}}$ possibilities of positioning the vertical connections among the vertical edges leaving H_1. Now turn to some component $H_a\neq H_1$. There is one component H_{a_0} vertically connected to H_a that lies on the path from H_a to H_1 in G. By construction, the vertical connection (H_a,H_{a_0}) is at position ν_a within H_a and it is colored in $l_1^{(a)}$. For distributing all other vertical connections at H_a on their possible positions within H_a, we are left with $\prod_{l=1}^m\frac{n_l^{(a)}!}{(n_l^{(a)}-n_l^{(a),G})!}1_{\{n_l^{(a),G}\leq n_l^{(a)}\}}$ possibilities. This leaves us, overall, with

$$\prod_{l=1}^{m}\prod_{a=1}^{r}\frac{n_l^{(a)}!}{(n_l^{(a)}-n_l^{(a),G})!}1_{\{n_l^{(a),G}\leq n_l^{(a)}\}}$$

possibilities for distributing all vertical connections of all components on their possible positions.

Most similarity classes have more than one possible connectivity tree and CCMIs since the components $H_2,...,H_r$ are arbitrarily labeled. By definition of the set $S_{\mathbf{l}',G}$, introduced in section 2.4, a Q^+-graph Q has $|S_{\mathbf{l}',G}|$ possible connectivity trees and CCMIs where G is one possible connectivity tree for Q. This proves (2). □

Remark. The arbitrary labeling of the components $H_2,...,H_r$ is necessary in order to apply the combinatorical arguments of the proof above. If we, for example, label the components in order of appearance with respect to the natural order of edges, we impose subtle restrictions on the CCMIs, leading to more involved expressions.

In the next subsection we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

3.4 Convergence of $m_k(F^{[X]_n^N})$

The following Lemma ensures the a.s. convergence of $m_k(F^{\widetilde{[X]}_n^N})$. The proof relies on corresponding results for constant f. For more details we refer to [4, Theorem 4.1].

Lemma 3.13. *It holds that*

$$\mathbb{E}[m_k(F^{\widetilde{[X]}_n^N}) - \mathbb{E}m_k(F^{\widetilde{[X]}_n^N})]^4 = O(N^{-2}).$$

Proof. For $a = 1, ..., 4$, given multi-indices $\mathbf{l}_a = (l_1^{(a)}, ..., l_k^{(a)}) \in \{1, ..., m\}^k$, $\mathbf{i}_a \in \{1, ..., N\}^{3k}$ and $\mathbf{j}_a = (j_1^{(a)}, ..., j_k^{(a)})$ with $j_p^{(a)} \in \{1, ..., [n(t_{l_p^{(a)}} - t_{l_p^{(a)}-1})]\}$, we denote by Q_a the corresponding colored Q^+-graph $Q_{\mathbf{l}_a, \mathbf{i}_a, \mathbf{j}_a}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[m_k(F^{\widetilde{[X]}_n^N}) - \mathbb{E}m_k(F^{\widetilde{[X]}_n^N})]^4 &= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{Nn^k}\sum_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}(ty)_{Q_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}} - \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{Nn^k}\sum_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}(ty)_{Q_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}}\right]\right]^4 \\ &= N^{-4}n^{-4k}\sum_{\mathbf{l}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_4}\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{a=1}^4((ty)_{Q_a} - \mathbb{E}[(ty)_{Q_a}])\right]. \quad (3.10)\end{aligned}$$

If, for some a, all vertical edges of Q_a do not coincide with vertical edges of one of the other graphs, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{a=1}^4((ty)_{Q_a} - \mathbb{E}[(ty)_{Q_a}])\right] = 0,$$

from independence. Thus, $Q = \cup Q_a$ consists of either one or two connected components. By expanding (3.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}[m_k(F^{\widetilde{[X]}_n^N}) - \mathbb{E}m_k(F^{\widetilde{[X]}_n^N})]^4 \\ &\quad = N^{-4}n^{-4k}\sum_{\mathbf{i}_1,...,\mathbf{l}_4}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{a=1}^4(ty)_{Q_a}\right] \pm ... + \prod_{a=1}^4\mathbb{E}[(ty)_{Q_a}]\right)\end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem A.35. of [4], in a similar way as in the proof of Lemma 3.7, for each of the 16 summands within the brackets separately, shows that this sum is $O(N^{-2})$. □

Now, combining Lemma 3.12 and Lemma 3.13 we have, by virtue of the Borel-Cantelli Lemma and (3.3),

$$m_k(F^{[X]_n^N}) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} m_k,$$

for all k, where m_k is defined as in Theorem 1.1. Therefore, if the sequence (m_k) satisfies Carleman's condition, applying Theorem 3.1 completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 3.14. *The sequence of limiting spectral moments m_k satisfies*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(m_{2k})^{-\frac{1}{2k}} = \infty.$$

Proof. Consider the matrices $S_l = \frac{1}{n} T_l Y_l Y_l^* T_l^*$ for $l = 1, ..., m$. The spectral distribution of $\frac{1}{n(t_l - t_{l-1})} Y_l Y_l^*$ is known to converge to the Marčenko-Pastur law $p_{y_l}(x)$ with support $[(1 - \sqrt{y_l})^2, (1 + \sqrt{y_l})^2]$ for $l = 1, ..., m$, where $y_l = c(t_l - t_{l-1})^{-1}$. Thus, we have

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \mathrm{tr}(S_l^k) \leq \lim_{N\to\infty} (t_l - t_{l-1})^k \|T_l\|_{\mathrm{op}}^{2k} \left\| \frac{1}{n(t_l - t_{l-1})} Y_l^* Y_l \right\|_{\mathrm{op}}^k \leq \tau_0^{2k} \left(1 + \sqrt{\max_l(y_l)}\right)^{2k},$$

for $l = 1, ..., m$. Therefore, the result follows from

$$m_k(F^{[X]_n^N}) \leq \frac{1}{N} m^{k-1} \left(\mathrm{tr}(S_1^k) + \cdots + \mathrm{tr}(S_m^k) \right),$$

which holds due to the convexity of the function $A \mapsto \mathrm{tr}(A)^k$, see for example [6, Theorem 2.10]. □

Acknowledgment. We would like to thank Carlos Vargas Obieta and Steen Thorbjørnsen for a fruitful discussion.

References

[1] G.W. Anderson, A. Guionnet and O. Zeitouni (2009): *An introduction to random matrices.* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics.

[2] Z.D. Bai (1999): Methodologies in spectral analysis of large-dimensional random matrices, a review. *Statistica Sinica*, 9, 611– 677.

[3] P. Biane (1998): Free probability for probabilists. *arXiv:math.PR/9809193 v1.*

[4] Z.D. Bai and J.W. Silverstein (2006): Spectral analysis of large dimensional random matrices. *Springer.*

[5] O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen, S.E. Graversen, J. Jacod, M. Podolskij and N. Shephard (2006): A central limit theorem for realised power and bipower variations of continuous semimartingales. In: Yu. Kabanov, R. Liptser and J. Stoyanov (Eds.), From Stochastic Calculus to Mathematical Finance. Festschrift in Honour of A.N. Shiryaev, Heidelberg: Springer, 2006, 33–68.

[6] E. Carlen (2010): Trace inequalities and quantum entropy: an introductory course, *Contemporary Mathematics,* 529, 73–140.

[7] R. Durrett (2010): Probability and Examples, *Cambridge University Press.*

[8] N. El Karoui (2008): Spectrum estimation for large dimensional covariance matrices using random matrix theory. *Annals of Statistics*, 36, 2757–2790.

[9] V.A. Marčenko and L.A. Pastur (1967): Distribution of eigenvalues in certain sets of random matrices. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* 72, 507–536.

[10] L.A. Pastur and M. Shcherbina (2011): *Eigenvalue distribution of large random matrices.* Mathematical surveys and monographs 171, American Mathematical Society.

[11] M. Podolskij and M. Vetter (2010): Understanding limit theorems for semimartingales: a short survey. *Statistica Nederlandica* 64(3), 329–351.

[12] J.W. Silverstein (1995): Strong convergence of the empirical distribution of eigenvalues of largedimensional random matrices. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis* 55, 331–339.

[13] J.W. Silverstein and Z.D. Bai (1995): On the empirical distribution of eigenvalues of a class of large-dimensional random matrices. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis* 54, 175–192.

[14] T.Tao (2012): Topics in random matrix theory *CRM Monograph Series* 1, American Mathematical Society.

[15] D.V. Voiculescu, K.J. Dykema, and A. Nica (1992): Free random variables. *CRM Monograph Series* 1, American Mathematical Society.

[16] E.P. Wigner (1955): Characteristic vectors of bordered matrices with infinite dimensions. *Annals of Mathematics* 62, 548–564.

[17] Y.Q.Yin and P.R. Krishnaiah (1983): A limit theorem for the eigenvalues of product of two random matrices. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis* 13, 489-507.

[18] X. Zheng and Y. Li (2011): On the estimation of integrated covariance matrices of high dimensional diffusion processes. *Annals of Statistics*, 39(6), 3121–3151.